







ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION ECEIVED

2013 APR 16 A 10: 16

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

DATE:

APRIL 16, 2013

DOCKET NO .:

T-02063A-12-0473

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Teena Jibilian. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY (RATES)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

APRIL 25, 2013

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

MAY 1, 2013 AND MAY 2, 2013

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602) 542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

APR 1 6 2013

BOCKETERRY

JODI JERICH

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 WWW.AZCC.GOV

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Shaylin Bernal, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail <u>SABernal@azcc.gov</u>.



BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION	
<u>COMMISSIONERS</u>	
BOB STUMP - Chairman	
GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS	
BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH	
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATIC ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY FO	PR A
HEARING TO DETERMINE THE EARN THE COMPANY, THE FAIR VALUE OF	THE DECISION NO
COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPO AND TO INCREASE RESIDENTIAL RA	TES AS
NECESSARY TO COMPENSATE FOR T IMPACTS OF THE FCC'S USF/ICC	THE RATE
TRANSFORMATION ORDER.	OPINION AND ORDER
DATE OF HEARING:	March 25, 2013
PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, Arizona
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Teena Jibilian
APPEARANCES:	Mr. Craig A. Marks, CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC, on behalf of Applicant; and
	Ms. Maureen A. Scott, Senior Staff Counsel and Mr. Charles O. Hains, Staff Attorney, Legal Division on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission.
BY THE COMMISSION:	
On November 23, 2012, Arizona Telephone Company ("Arizona Telephone" or "Company")	
filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") the above-captioned application	
The application states that it was filed pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-250 and Arizona Administrative Code	
R14-2-103, to compensate for the rate	impacts of the Federal Communication Commission's
("FCC's") November 18, 2011 Universa	l Service Fund/Inter-carrier Compensation ("USF/ICC")
Transformation Order ("USF/ICC	rmation Order"). 1
: * * * *	* * * * *
FCC 11-161, Connect America Fund, WC Docke	et No. 10-90 et al., Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed

Rulemaking, 26 FCC Rcd 17663 (November 18, 2011); pets. for review pending (10th Cir. filed Dec. 8, 2011).

Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

FINDINGS OF FACT

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the

Procedural History

- 5 1. On November 23, 2012, Arizona Telephone filed the rate application with the 6 Commission.
 - 2. On January 22, 2013, Arizona Telephone filed a Motion for Procedural Order.
 - 3. On January 28, 2013, a Rate Case Procedural Order was issued, setting the matter for hearing and establishing associated procedural deadlines, including the mailing of notice of the application and hearing to all of Arizona Telephone's customers.
 - On February 22, 2013, Arizona Telephone filed an Affidavit regarding notice of the application and hearing.
 - 5. On March 6, 2013, Arizona Telephone filed a revised Affidavit indicating that notice as ordered by the Rate Case Procedural Order was mailed to each customer of Arizona Telephone.
 - 6. No requests for intervention were filed.
 - 7. On March 14, 2013, Staff filed its Staff Report recommending approval of the application, for an increase from \$10.00 to \$14.00 in the monthly residence local exchange rate to address the impact of the FCC's USF/ICC Order.
 - 8. On March 21, 2013, Staff filed the Testimony Summary of its witness.
 - 9. No public comment was filed in opposition to the rate increase.
 - 10. On March 25, 2013, a hearing on the application was convened before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission. Arizona Telephone and Staff appeared through counsel, presented testimony and evidence through witnesses, and were provided an opportunity to cross examine witnesses. No members of the public appeared to provide public comment.
 - 11. Following the parties' submission of evidence, the matter was taken under advisement pending the submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order.

28

. . .

Arizona Telephone

- 12. Arizona Telephone is an Arizona public service corporation engaged in the business of providing telephone utility service to the public in portions of Coconino, Gila, Pima, Maricopa and Yuma Counties. The Company serves customers in its Sasabe, Hyder, Harquahala, Tonto Basin, Roosevelt, Blue Ridge, Mormon Lake, Greenhaven, Marble Canyon and Supai Exchanges. In its April 15, 2012, Utilities Annual Report, Arizona Telephone reported 2,036 residential lines and 770 business lines.
- 13. Arizona Telephone is currently charging rates set by Commission Decision No. 73575 (November 21, 2012).
- 14. Arizona Telephone is a rate of return incumbent local exchange carrier ("ILEC") eligible to receive federal high-cost loop support ("FHCLS").

FCC USF/ICC Order

- 15. On November 18, 2011, the FCC issued the USF/ICC Order. The USF/ICC Order provides for a transition from former federal universal service programs and most intercarrier compensation systems into a new Connect America Fund ("CAF"). In its USF/ICC Order, the FCC states that by July 1, 2020, intercarrier compensation rates for rate of return companies will be reduced to zero. The recovery from the CAF will phase out over time at 5 percent annually.
- 16. The USF/ICC Order adds new rules that will reduce FHCLS to carriers by the amount their flat-rate residential local service rates fall below a specified local service rate floor. The rate floor includes state subscriber line charges, state universal service fees, and mandatory extended area service charges, if any are assessed. The USF/ICC Order establishes those rate floors at \$14.00 as of June 1, 2013, with the floor thereafter being determined annually by the FCC's Wireline Competition Bureau.
- 17. As a recipient of FHCLS, Arizona Telephone is affected by the FCC USF/ICC Order. Under the USF/ICC Order, to continue receiving FHCLS, rural ILECs such as Arizona Telephone must increase their residential local rates to the FCC-mandated residential rate floors. Otherwise, the amount of FHCLS funds received will be reduced dollar-for-dollar for each customer by the difference between the existing local rate and the new rate floor.

Application

- 18. The application requests that Arizona Telephone be authorized to raise its residential local rates from \$10.00 to the \$14.00 rate floor mandated by the USF/ICC Order to allow it to continue receiving FHCLS.
- 19. Arizona Telephone submitted the application, after consulting with Staff, in a "streamlined" form. The application and accompanying exhibits in support of Arizona Telephone's requested increase in residential rates are based on the twelve months ending June 30, 2012.
- 20. For the twelve months ending June 30, 2012, Arizona Telephone's filing indicates total Intrastate Operating Revenues of \$1,121,018 (which includes FHCLS and Federal Safety Net Additive Support of \$106,060), and total Intrastate Operating Expenses of \$1,865,480, for total Intrastate Operating Income of negative \$774,462 before taxes, and negative \$460,172 after taxes.
- 21. The filing indicates a total Arizona rate base of \$3,873,823, of which \$1,478,798 is interstate, and \$2,395,025 is intrastate.

Staff Recommendations

- 22. Staff states that it reviewed the application and the federal rule changes that prompted its filing. Staff states that it concluded that the costs appear reasonable and appropriate under the unique circumstances of this case, but that its recommendation should not be viewed as precedent for the processing of future rate case applications.
- 23. Staff states that for the purposes of this proceeding, Arizona Telephone stipulated to the use of original cost less depreciation ("OCRB") as the basis for a determination of its fair value rate base ("FVRB").
- 24. Staff reviewed and analyzed the filing, but did not perform a regulatory audit. Staff does not recommend that Arizona Telephone's rates be set based on a revenue requirement analysis.
- 25. Staff states that the annual revenue effect of Arizona Telephone's requested increase in local telephone service rates to \$14.00 would be \$94,752. Staff states that compared to Arizona Telephone's total revenues, any revenue impact from this rate increase would be small, and any impact on Arizona Telephone's fair value rate of return would be de minimus. Staff states that with Arizona Telephone's requested increase, operating income would remain negative, and that the rate

of return would be less than zero, and not meaningful in this case.

- 26. Staff recommends that Arizona Telephone's monthly residence local exchange rate be increased to \$14.00 to address the impact of the USF/ICC Transformation Order. Staff states that it believes the requested increase is just, fair, and reasonable for the following reasons:
 - (a) The increase is necessitated by the FCC's November 18, 2011 USF/ICC Transformation Order;
 - (b) The increase is necessary to preserve the entirety of the federal USF funds that may flow to Arizona Telephone pursuant to the FCC's rules;
 - (c) The increase will minimize/reduce the amount of future rate increase; and
 - (d) The increase will allow Arizona Telephone to receive matching funds from the FUSF.
- 27. The Staff Report states that on November 28, 2012, the Records Section of the Corporations Division responded that Arizona Telephone is in Good Standing, and a review of Consumer Services database revealed that no complaints, inquiries and opinions were received pertaining to Arizona Telephone for the period January 1, 2009 November 28, 2012.
- 28. Staff states that a check of the Utilities Division Compliance Section database showed that Arizona Telephone is in compliance with all items.

Conclusions

- 29. Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, a rate of return analysis is not useful.
- 30. According to the evidence presented, the rate increase request will have a de minimus effect on Arizona Telephone's return on FVRB.
 - 31. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.
- 32. Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, Arizona Telephone's rates for residential local service should increase from the currently tariffed rate of \$10.00 to \$14.00, and all other currently tariffed rates should remain unchanged, in order to assure continued FUSF support for Arizona Telephone's services.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Arizona Telephone is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article 15 of

1 the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251. 2 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Company and over the subject matter of this 3 proceeding. 4 3. The Company provided notice of this proceeding in accordance with law. 5 4. The Company's Arizona Intrastate FVRB as of June 30, 2012, is \$2,395,025. 6 5. Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, a rate of return analysis is not 7 useful. 8 6. Under the particular circumstances of this proceeding, it is appropriate to increase 9 Arizona Telephone's rates for residential local service from the currently tariffed rate of \$10.00 to 10 \$14.00, and to leave all other currently tariffed rates unchanged, in order to assure continued FUSF 11 support for Arizona Telephone's services. 12 7. The rates and charges authorized herein are just and reasonable and promote the public 13 interest. 8. The Company should be directed to file revised tariffs showing the rates authorized 14 15 herein. 16 ORDER 17 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Arizona Telephone Company shall increase its rates and 18 charges in accordance with the Findings of Fact herein. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that such new rates and charges shall be effective for Arizona 19 20 Telephone Company's billings on or after June 1, 2013. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona Telephone Company is authorized and directed to			
2	file, on or before May 31, 2013, revised schedules of rates and charges consistent with the Findings			
3	of Fact and Conclusions of Law contained herein.			
4	4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that t	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.		
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.			
6	6			
7	7			
8	8 CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONER		
9	9			
10	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER		
11	11			
12	IN Dire	WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive ctor of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have		
13	here	anto set my hand and caused the official seal of the mission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,		
14	this	day of2013.		
15				
16	<u></u>	I JERICH		
17	EXE	CUTIVE DIRECTOR		
18				
19	DIODEIVI			
20				
21	DISSERVE	_		
22	`			
23				
24				
2. 25				
26				
20 27				
28				
20				
		7 DECISION NO		

1			
1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	ARIZONA TELEPHONE COMPANY	
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-02063A-12-0473	
3			
4	Craig A. Marks CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC		
5	10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676 Phoenix, AZ 85028 Attorney for Arizona Telephone Company		
6	·		
7	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSIO	ON	
8	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007		
9	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division		
11	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street		
12	Phoenix, AZ 85007		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	5		
26			